Screening, surgical repair, and the management of abdominal aortic aneurisms

J Med Screen 2005;12:57-58

Abdominal aortic aneurysm (AAA) is a detectable, treatable disease, and yet over 6000 people in the UK still die from it each year.¹ Elective open surgical treatment is the only method of prevention. Its use needs to be tempered by three facts: it is a treatment that itself carries a mortality risk (2–6%);^{2–4} the majority of those with an AAA die of other causes with their aneurysm intact⁵ and so would not benefit from the treatment; and the risk of rupture of an AAA of 5 cm diameter (around 1% per year)^{3,6} is less than the risk of surgery. Surgery should therefore only be offered when there is a clearly favourable risk-benefit ratio,⁷ so as to reduce to a minimum the number of unnecessary operations on those who, if left, would die with their aneurysm intact.

Progress in anaesthesia and surgical techniques has improved outcomes for elective procedures but not the outcomes of emergency treatment.^{8,9} When combined with the observation that a large proportion of patients with ruptured AAA will die before reaching a hospital operating theatre,^{10,11} it is apparent that any technical advance is unlikely to significantly alter the mortality of disease as a whole without early detection in the community. Screening for AAA using ultrasound achieves this and has been shown to both save lives and be cost-effective.^{12,13}

The evidence of this benefit has accumulated over the past 20 years.^{14–18} Small randomized controlled trials^{19,20} and non-randomized studies^{15,21} suggested benefit, but it was not until 2002 that clear evidence of the benefit emerged, as well as demonstration of cost-effectiveness, with publication of the results of the Multicentre Aneurysm Screening Study (MASS).^{12,13}

One recent large randomized trial from Australia²² appeared, from their conclusion, not to demonstrate benefit. Close inspection of the published findings showed that, for the same age group that was studied in MASS (65-74 years), the reduction in AAA-related mortality, when compared from the point of screening onwards, was in fact greater (81%) than that found in MASS (42%). The overall reduction in AAA mortality calculated from their data (39%) was very similar to the three other trials,^{13,19,20} although the reduction was not statistically significant. The lack of statistical significance was due to a lack of power, rather than a lack of effect. Relative to MASS, the population recruited was smaller (40,000 rather than 70,000), there were long delays between randomization and screening (leading to additional deaths from ruptured AAA in the screening arm), and inclusion of an extra tranche of 80+year-old men to boost the numbers led to the low benefit and high rupture rate associated with this age group.

Screening men just once in a lifetime, at age 65, would halve the risk of rupture in those who attend screening, leaving a population with a normal aorta (95% of those screened) with a very low risk of rupture (0.6 per 10,000 person-years); this low risk appears to last for 10 years or more.^{23,24}

Reduction in the mortality associated with treatment would widen the indications for screening and improve the benefit. Endovascular aneurysm repair (EVAR) has a lower 30-day mortality than open repair,^{25,26} but complications, re-operation and device failure appear to require further assessment.^{27,28} If the high complication rate can be eliminated by device and technique improvement²⁹ then EVAR could decrease the mortality and improve the benefit of screening. The biggest problem to overcome is the 1% rupture rate per year,³⁰ which is not dissimilar to no treatment of an AAA 5 cm in diameter.^{3,6}

The ideal combination would be to identify AAAs by screening and then prevent their expansion by a medical/ non-surgical treatment. Research into the aetiology of AAA²⁹ and the causes of expansion^{32,33} has the potential to achieve this aim, but no specific non-surgical interventions are available as yet.

The consistent findings of four randomized controlled trials^{13,19,20,22} strongly support the earlier evidence that screening and elective treatment can reduce the mortality and the emergency surgical workload from this disease. The US Preventive Services Task Force recently reviewed the evidence and has recommended 'one-time screening for AAA by ultrasonography in men age 65–75 years who had ever smoked'.³⁴ In the UK the National Screening Committee is also reviewing the evidence, and will give its decision in the near future. Let us hope that after producing much of the evidence, the UK and countries in Europe will also be offered the opportunity to benefit from widespread AAA screening.

R A P Scott¹ and S G Thompson²

¹Principal Investigator & Clinical Director, Multi-centre Aneurysm Screening Study, Scott Research Unit, CMEC, St. Richards Hospital, Chichester, West Sussex PO19 6SE, UK

²Director, MRC Biostatistics Unit, Institute of Public Health, University Forvie Site, Robinson Way, Cambridge CB2 2SR, UK

REFERENCES

- 1 Office of Population Censuses and Surveys 2000. Mortality Statistics. Cause. London: The Stationery Office, 2001
- 2 Huber T, Wang J, Derrow A, et al. Experience in the United States with intact abdominal aortic aneurysm repair. J Vasc Surg 2001; 33:304–11
- 3 The UK Small Aneurysm Trial Participants. Mortality results for randomised controlled trial of early elective surgery or ultrasonographic surveillance for small abdominal aortic aneurysms. *Lancet* 1998;**352**:1649–55
- 4 Norman P, Semmens J, Lawrence-Brown M, Holman C. Long term relative survival after surgery for abdominal aortic aneurysm in western Australia: population based study. BMJ 1998;**317**:852–6
- 5 Scott R, Ashton H, Lamparelli M, Harris G, Stevens J. A 14-year experience with 6 cm as a criterion for surgical treatment for abdominal aortic aneurysm. Br J Surg 1999;86:1317–21
- 6 Vardulaki K, Prevost T, Walker N, et al. Growth rates and risk of rupture of abdominal aortic aneurysms. Br J Surg 1998;85:1674–80
- 7 Lederle F, Wilson S, Johnson G, et al. Immediate repair compared with surveillance of small abdominal aortic aneurysms. Aneurysm Detection and Management Veterans Affairs Cooperative Study Group. N Engl J Med 2002;**346**:1437-44
- 8 Bradbury A, Adam D, Makhdoomi K, et al. Abdominal aortic aneurysm repair: a 21 year experience (VSSGBI abstract). Br J Surg 1998;85:554

57

- 9 Hafez H, Clayton G, Greenhalgh R, Davies A. Mortality rates from ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysms in England (VSSGBI abstract). Br J Surg 2001;88:610
- 10 Choksy S, Quick C, Wilmink A. Ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysm in the Huntingdon district: a 10-year experience. Ann Roy Coll Surg Engl 1999;81:27–31
- 11 Cassar K, Godden D, Duncan J. Community mortality after ruptured abdominal aneurysm is unrelated to the distance from the surgical centre. Br J Surg 2001;88:1341–3
- Br J Surg 2001;88:1341-3
 MASS Group. Multicentre Aneurysm Screening Study (MASS): cost effectiveness analysis of screening for abdominal aortic aneurysms based on four year results from randomised controlled trial. BMJ 2002;325: 1135-8
- 13 MASS Group. The Multicentre Aneurysm Screening Study (MASS) into the effect of abdominal aortic aneurysm screening in men: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2002;**360**:1531–9
- 14 Collin J, Araujo L, Walton J, Lindsell D. Oxford screening programme for abdominal aortic aneurysms in men aged 65–74 years. Lancet 1988;2:613–5
- 15 Wilmink A, Hubbard C, Quick C. The influence of screening for asymptomatic abdominal aortic aneurysms in men over the age of 50 on the incidence of ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysms in the Huntington district. Br J Surg 1997;84:11–2
- Scott R, Ashton H, Kay D. Routine ultrasound screening in management of abdominal aortic aneurysms. *BMJ* 1988;**296**:1709–10
 Earnshaw J, Shaw E, Whyman M, Poskitt K, Heather B. Screening for
- Earnshaw J, Shaw E, Whyman M, Poskitt K, Heather B. Screening for abdominal aortic aneurysms in men. *BMJ* 2004;**328**:1122–4
 Quill D, Colgan M, Sumner D. Ultrasonic screening for the
- 18 Quill D, Colgan M, Sumner D. Ultrasonic screening for the detection of abdominal aortic aneurysms. Surg Clin N Am 1989;69: 713-20
- Lindholt J, Juul S, Henneberg E, Fasting H. Is screening for AAA acceptable to the population? Selection and recruitment to hospital based mass screening for AAA. J Public Health Med 1998;20:211–17
 Scott R, Wilson N, Ashton H, Kay D. Influence of screening on the
- 20 Scott R, Wilson N, Ashton H, Kay D. Influence of screening on the incidence of ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysm: 5-year results of a randomised controlled study. Br J Surg 1995;82:1066–70
- 21 Heather B, Poskitt K, Earnshaw J, Wyman M, Shaw E. Population screening reduces mortality rate from aortic aneurysm in men. Br J Surg 2000;87:750–3

- 22 Norman P, Jamrozik K, Lawrence-Brown M, et al. Population based randomised controlled trial on impact of screening on mortality from abdominal aortic aneurysm. BMJ 2004;**329**:1259–62
- 23 Scott R, Vardulaki K, Walker N, Day N, Duffy S, Ashton H. The long-term benefits of a single scan for abdominal aortic aneurysm (AAA) at age 65. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg 2001;21:535–40
- 24 Crow P, Shaw E, Earnshaw K, Poskitt K, Whyman M, Heather B. A single normal ultrasonographic scan at age 65 years rules out significant aneurysm disease for life in men. Br J Surg 2001;88:941–4
 25 UK EVAR Trial Participants. Comparison of endovascular aneurysm repair
- 25 UK EVAR Trial Participants. Comparison of endovascular aneurysm repair with open repair in patients with abdominal aortic aneurysm (EVAR trial 1), 30-day operative mortality results: randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2004;**364**:843–8
- 26 DREAM Trial Group. A randomized trial comparing conventional and endovascular repair of abdominal aortic aneurysms. N Engl J Med 2004;**351**:1607–18
- Thomas S, Gaines P, Beard J. Short-term (30-day) outcome of endovascular treatment of abdominal aortic aneurysm: results from the prospective registry of endovascular treatment of abdominal aortic aneurysms (RETA). Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg 2001;21:57-64
 Galland P, Endovasci J, 2001;21:57-64
- 28 Galland R. Endovascular repair (EVAR) of abdominal aortic aneurysms: the case for and against. Ann Roy Coll Surg Engl 2004;86:377-80
- 29 Torella F, EUROSTAR collaborators. Effect of improved endograft design on outcome of endovascular repair. J Vasc Surg 2004;40:216-221
- 30 Harris P, Vallabhaneni S, Desgranges P, et al. Incidence and risk factors of late rupture, conversion and death after endovascular repair of infrarenal aortic aneurysms: The EUROSTAR experience. J Vasc Surg 2000;32: 739–749
- 31 Jones K, Brull D, Brown L, et al. Interlukin-6 (IL-6) and the prognosis of abdominal aortic aneurysms. Circulation 2001;103:2260–5
- 32 Eriksson P, Jones K, Brown L, Greenhalgh R, Hamsten A, Powell J. Genetic approach to the role of cystein proteases in the expansion of abdominal aortic aneurysms. *Br J Surg* 2004;**91**:86–9
- 33 Baxter B, Pearce W, Waltke E, et al. Prolonged administration of doxycycline in patients with small asymptomatic abdominal aortic aneurysms: report of a prospective (PhaseII) multicenter study. J Vasc Surg 2002;36:1–2
- 34 Fleming C, Whitock E, Beil T, Lederle F. Screening for abdominal aortic aneurysm: a best-evidence systematic review for the US Preventative Services Task Force. Ann Intern Med 2005;142:203–11